

No	Where they live in Parish	Opinion on plan	Policy	Page no	View on policy	Concern/Comment	Changes or additional evidence	Local knowledge	Name	Recommendations	Action by
78			Action Plan			Add: Replace black plastic bollards on traffic calming features with those more suitable to conserve and enhance the conservation area. Wood, metal or re-cycled plastic that looks like wood or metal. Also consider planters etc. as further traffic calming solutions at various points. To improve the quality of life and enhance the character of the C.A.			Barabara Uren	Covered in HIP	Sam Nicholas
89			Action Plan			Also consider planters etc. as further traffic calming solutions at various points. To improve the quality of life and enhance the character of the C.A.			Barabara Uren	Covered in HIP	Lynn Regan
30			ALL maps			The maps which appear in the main text of the plan should not simply be representative. They should be printed in a large enough scale in order that they can be a useful source of information .It appears that they have been condensed in order to fit them into the text. I would suggest that if we have decided to use these maps then they should have a page of their own to do them justice and appear in landscape format.			Andy Cotterill	Maps to be produced landscape and A4 quality other maps can be hyperlinked such as AONB Maps	SN to Liaise with Matt Pitts Parish Council and TWBC
31			All maps	Page 29, 35, 60, 64, 76		The maps are too small to read.			Andy Cotterill	High Resolution maps to be added	
79			Appendix			p.86 – LAMBERHURST APPRAISAL – 1990 NOT 1967. 2002 C.A. appraisal Lamberhurst Local History Society NOT Lamberhurst Society.			Barabara Uren	Agreed	Lynn Regan
7	Other (Furnace lane)	Agree	B1	p73	Agree	B1 (p73) 2nd pullet point. This reads as if, so long as it helps bring a vacant rural building back into use, it does not matter if the new activity causes harm to the rural environemnt or amenities of near by properties. I suggest splitting this into 2 bullet points so it is not an "either/or". I suggest deleting 'near by' - this is subjective, and light and noise will affect properties considerable distances from either point of origin.			Elizabeth Morling	Add in terminology rural and industrial to text	Lynn Regan
91		Agree	B2	p78		B2 (p78) I suggest adding a fourth bullet point to confirm that the development will be supported as stated provided that it does not damage the amenities of exsiting residential and business properties.				The terminology already covers this point no change	
27			B2	p.78		The last para(in red) should include Retail as well as Tourist facilities. Alternatively, The policy should only Be Tourism and Hospitality ie. leave out retail which is covered adequately elsewhere.			David Hurst-Brown	It was agreed that there would be no benefit to changing the headings no action required	
41			B2	p.78		This policy specifically deals with tourism. My thoughts are that the plan would refer back to the vision statement better if our N.P. had a separate chapter devoted to tourism. It might include the creation of a Lamberhurst Village Brand. Creating more interest in our historic village centre with better interpretation and appropriate signage. The value tourism has for business, the local economy and employment cannot be understated. A community aspiration might be to have a cycle path from Bewl Water to the Village. This in turn would give parishioners the opportunity to explore the 13 miles of cycle track which runs around the perimeter of the reservoir.			Andy Cotterill	This has already been covered	
53	Hook Green	Agree	B2	P.78	Agree	Page 78, B2. This is not evidence. It is rationale			Marcus de Guingand	changed to evidence and rationale	

No	Where they live in Parish	Opinion on plan	Policy	Page no	View on policy	Concern/Comment	Changes or additional evidence	Local knowledge	Name	Recommendations	Action by
29			Bridleways			Bridleways. There are a number of Bridleways across the Parish providing safe (ie. Off-highway riding facility). Can we include something about this as it supports at least 2 Equestrian businesses in the Parish. Also perhaps a mention under L6. Jackie knows a lot about local Bridleways and is involved with TROT which manages the Bridleways at Bedgbury so I will get thoughts from her.			David Hurst-Brown	This has already been addressed by using the word byways to cover bridleways and other uses, no action required	
113			Business & Employment	p76		graph 1. Above the pie chart we say 14% run their business from home. The pie chart says 12%			Marcus de Guingand	The pie chart does not relate to the information to be reformatted to make clearer	
104			C1	p40		P 40, C1. This is not evidence. It is very strong rationale. However, there is a lot of evidence from the survey to support many of the listed community assets (and probably the workshops)			Marcus de Guingand	This heading will be changed to Evidence & Rationale	Lynn Regan
8	Other (Furnace lane)	Agree	C1	p40/41	Agree	In C1 (b) I wonder how a "sustained effort..." can be assessed?	Include more details: That no covenants or conditions are in place that are unreasonable. That the asking price is appropriate. That there is adequate publicity of the safe.	On p41 other assets could be added: Parish office, George and Dragon, public toilets, Vineyard and Brown Trout. Also other connections could include Bedgebury.	Elizabeth Morling	p79 this information has been included no action required	
46			C1	p40/41				Also other connections could include Bedgebury.	Elizabeth Morling	no action required	
47	Lamberhurst Village	Agree	C1	p40/41		You list 'other important connections', could Bedgbury Forest/Pinetum be added to this list?			Phil Armstrong	no action required as this information has already been included	
24			C2	p42		Reference to FTTP within limits to build is OK but real need is for better fibre connectivity outside LTB including Businesses. Should we include a mention of this here ?			David Hurst-Brown	This is covered in opening statement within parish	
80	Lamberhurst Village	Agree	C2	p42	Agree	An improved mobile signal is very important. More and more organisations including my bank are texting codes before you can process with an action. Very difficult if you have no signal.			Phil Armstrong	Already covered in wording no action required	

No	Where they live in Parish	Opinion on plan	Policy	Page no	View on policy	Concern/Comment	Changes or additional evidence	Local knowledge	Name	Recommendations	Action by
42			C3	p43		We will be concerned with proposals that have the potential to impact on the safety, reliability and/or efficient operation of the SRN, in this case the A21 in the vicinity of Lamberhurst, Kent. Having reviewed the proposed plan, our only comment is to note that at p43 and in Policy C3 reference is made to S106 agreements and CIL. However, any highway mitigations or improvements are normally delivered under S278 of the 1980 Highways Act. Therefore you may wish to add text to the next iteration of the plan to cover this point.		Highways England has been appointed by the Secretary of State for Transport as strategic highway company under the provisions of the Infrastructure Act 2015 and is the highway authority, traffic authority and street authority for the strategic road network (SRN). The SRN is a critical national asset and as such Highways England works to ensure that it operates and is managed in the public interest, both in respect of current activities and needs as well as in providing	Kevin Bown	Add into p.72 Traffic Management	Lynn Regan
105			C3	p44		P 44, C3. I'm confused. The objective is only telecommunications. The developer cannot TELL BT / O2 etc what to do. Contributions happen anyway – independent of this LNDP. I'd remove and mention in Chapter 13 P 45, Quote. (pedant alert) This was presumably a quote from a single attendee rather than "those attending."			Marcus de Guingand	This was the main concern for the community and other contributions can be found elsewhere to clarify this will - add in other developers contribution can be found on page 82	Lynn Regan
81	Lamberhurst Village	Agree	Chapter 12			Can the sites recommended in the "call for sites" be included in the plan if a decision is made before the final local plan is published.			Phil Armstrong	The call for sites will change so cannot hyperlink but could put TWBC link for overall plan	Lynn Regan
50	Lamberhurst Village	Agree	Chapter 5 - representing community views and L2	p21	Agree	Retaining our parish character and AONB status is very valuable to me, although I do appreciate the need for more affordable housing with ample car parking spaces and without impact to areas that are already troubled by overcrowded parking, speeding and congestion.	Incidents demonstrating overcrowded streets for parking. For example Emergency Paramedics unable to access Hopgarden Close via Brewer Street in 2016 due to inconsiderate and overcrowded parking and another occasion in July 2019 when Council Refuse Vehicle unable to get access to the same street to collect bins due to similar inconsiderate and overcrowded parking.		Dawn Beeby	No action required as covered by HIP	
72			Chapter 7	p25		p.25 & map p.29 – LOCAL LISTED GREEN SPACE. c) Chequers and PLAYING FIELD.			Barabara Uren	Need to add in Playing Field to list	Lynn Regan

No	Where they live in Parish	Opinion on plan	Policy	Page no	View on policy	Concern/Comment	Changes or additional evidence	Local knowledge	Name	Recommendations	Action by
82	The Down	Agree	Community Plan	p67	Agree	Contant speeding through the traffic calming measures on Furnace Lane (Between Vineyard-Furnace Farm). Constant soeeding and overtaking in a 30mph zone.	Long speed bump(s) within this section to prevent speeding and overtaking. An electronic speed awareness sign to make drivers aware of their speed.	I feel this road (Furnace Lane) have been under played how busy and used it is	Mr I Cooper	No action required see HIP Plan	Parish Council
9		Agree	D1	p56/57/58	Agree	D1 (d) In some cases extensions to exsiting buildings are required to be obvious as such. This policy may conflict with that, but I am not sure of the detail of requirments of listed buildings.			Elizabeth Morling	This does not exclude contemporary design no changes required	
10	Other (Furnace lane)	Agree	D1	p56/57/59	Agree	D1 (L) Would prefer this to state AVOID light spillage..." As well as eroding dark skies, light in the wrong place is wasted energy and annoying.			Elizabeth Morling	This has already been covered in D4 no action required	
20			D1	p56		Design of New Development. The High Weald AONB Partnership has recently published a Design Guide for housing developments within the AONB. It is requested that a link or reference to this Guide be inserted into Policy D1, perhaps in criteria a) after the reference to the Management Plan. The Design Guide is an excellent reference document for designers of new developments nonetheless there should still be opportunity for outstanding quality design in appropriate locations - likely to be a one off building			Claire Tester	Agreed additional element in this section to be written and included with hyperlink to document	SN
109			D2	p58		P 58, D2. I thought we were supposed to avoid phrases such as "are likely to" and "should"			Marcus de Guingand	no action required	
93		Agree	D4	p57	Agree	D4 uses the term "light spillage is eliminated or redacted...". "Reduced" is subjective, any light spillage is potentially distressing for neighbours and erodes dark skies. I suggest the policy states that light spillage beyod the application site will not be permitted.				Policy covers that we have a stronge dark sky policy with evidence, no action required	
1	Other (Furnace lane)	Agree	D4	p60	Agree	Policy D4 concerns new developments and the need to preserve dark skies. My point is related - it is the amount of street lighting which stays on all night.	If it's within the scope of the LNDP, consider turning off unnecessary lighting after, say, midnight. I am thinking in particular of Furnace Lane (where I live). There is little traffic along there at night, but the lights are always on, marning dark skies and wasting energy (and therefore money). Possibly this is one for the Highway Authority?		Tim Cornick		Parish council issue
110			D5	p.61		D5. It would be very useful to list the current housing density in the village (if we know it)			Marcus de Guingand	The character assessment covers this in style of layout and character type	

No	Where they live in Parish	Opinion on plan	Policy	Page no	View on policy	Concern/Comment	Changes or additional evidence	Local knowledge	Name	Recommendations	Action by
86	Lamberhurst Village	Agree	D7	p63	Agree	Add boundary treatments.	Boundary treatments should conserve or enhance the C.A. in which it stands (see D2).	C.A. detractors: See close boarded fence on Town Hill- in the conservation area- classed as permitted development by TWBC the planning authority.	Barabara Uren	Will add in as Part of design guide section	SN
48	Lamberhurst Village	Agree	D8	p23	Agree	I think this plan has been incredibly well researched and written. It's very detailed and inclusive. This particular policy D8 is innovative and a very good idea, as residents often own more than one car per household and this would ensure that narrow roads don't get blocked/made even narrower with on street parking.			Kim Capone	no action	
66	Lamberhurst Village	Agree	Design and Character	p56	Agree	Would be good to have a connection between the design character and the individual character assessments to give more weight to the detail.	As we are wholly in the AONB would it be useful to have a policy stating this and linking is key characteristics to the assessments that have been carried out by the community			This has been actioned with new section	SN
11	Other (Furnace lane)	Agree	H1	p50	Agree	H1 (p50) Reference to down sizing suggests a need for more services, such as public transport, NHS, good pavement layout and safe crossing places.			Elizabeth Morling	Walking community and HIP Improvements is taking this into condiseration	
45	Lamberhurst Village	Agree	H1	p50	Disagree	PLEASE REMOVE ADJOINING as this will lead to development on land which we should not be encouraging such as Brewers street and Field next to the Chequers Field		Yes we need to add in more information on the character assessment for each of the areas and more information on boundary treatments	Samantha Nicholas	Action agreed	Lynn Regan
18			H1	p50		Location of Housing Development. This policy appropriately restricts development to sites allocated in the emerging Tunbridge Wells Borough Local Plan and those within the 'limits to built development' boundary. However, the inclusion of the words "or adjoining" in the second sentence also allows development on unallocated sites outside of the boundary with no limit on scale or character / impact. Such a permissive policy could have a severe impact on the landscape of the AONB and the character of the village. It is recommended that the words "or adjoining" be deleted and the words "as defined on the policies map" added after "'limits to built development' for Lamberhurst".			Claire Tester	It was agreed that the adjoining was not required as current legislation would cover this	Lynn Regan
96	Other (Furnace lane)	Agree	H1, H6 (50, 54, 48)		Agree	H1 (p50) There us a paragraph which seems to equate the type of property (e.g. detached) with size (e.g. large). In the context of the paragraph it may better to stay with size e.g. number of bedrooms. p48 re. discounted market sale. If this happens on the first sale, ca there be a provision that it remains in place for future sales? If not the affordable property will be lost.			Elizabeth Morling	Policy adequately covers	

No	Where they live in Parish	Opinion on plan	Policy	Page no	View on policy	Concern/Comment	Changes or additional evidence	Local knowledge	Name	Recommendations	Action by
94			H2	p51		H2 (P51) re. A separate scale for affordable housing requirements for small developments, less than 10 dwellings. This could enable a developer to make separate applications for less than 10 on a number of sites at different times. This could add up to a large development that might not comply with the requirement of a development of 10 or more.			Elizabeth Morling	Policy adequately covers	
21			H2	p23		Am concerned that 10 units is too high. Especially considering the response to survey shown on page 47 and commentary at bottom of page 50.			David Hurst-Brown	This was recommended by Lindsey Frost	
44	Lamberhurst Village		H2	p51	Disagree	Remove the first of 10 units. Development in Lamberhurst will mostly be small developments. Explain the need for smaller developments.			Ron Tibbs	Agreed	
19			H3			Allocating Affordable Housing. It is not clear what "New affordable housing provided by the LNDP" means given that the plan does not allocate sites. It is suggested that this either be replaced by "New affordable housing provided in the parish", or, if this is not acceptable to the Local Housing Authority, policy H3 is deleted and Policy H4 is amended to apply the local connection criteria to the sites delivered through that policy.			Claire Tester	Change to "New affordable housing provided in the parish"	Lynn Regan
95			H3	p52		H3 (p52) Where new affordable housing is allocated initially subject to a local connection, can this requirement be maintained for the life of property?			Elizabeth Morling	Need to seek advice	LF
12	Other (Furnace lane)	Agree	H4	p53	Agree	H4 (p53)The second bullet point means that there will be no right to buy. I am very much in favour of the properties remaining with the social landlord without a right to buy. I would also add to the policy that housing on such sites must not impinge on existing property already there, through noise, light or lack of privacy, loss of views, increased of traffic.			Elizabeth Morling	Add in terminology new affordable housing	Lynn Regan
97	Other (Furnace lane)	Agree	H5	p54		H5 (p54) Is there an equitable way to determine whether a property is "unstable or uneconomic to repair"? Does this provision take account of improvements which are not repairs e.g. installation of bathroom facilities, extra insulation, extensions?			Elizabeth Morling	Terminology is adequate to cover	
107			H5	p54		H5. The evidence is not evidence and repeats the policy It is rationale			Marcus de Guingand	action taken	
22			H5			Is this wording too restrictive? What for example if the property is viable and economic but an eyesore? Agree there could be a better design solution which enhances the environment			David Hurst-Brown	This is covered in the design policies	
13	Other (Furnace lane)	Agree	H6	p54	Agree	H6 (p54) Conversion would need to be subject to the provision that it did not result in loss of amenities to existing properties, lives and businesses e.g. through light, noise, loss of views and privacy.			Elizabeth Morling	Policy covers correct level of detail	
108			H6	p55		P 55, H6. This is not evidence			Marcus de Guingand	action taken	
106			Housing & Design	p46		The figures in both columns for spaces without residents have two decimal places whilst no other figure does			Marcus de Guingand	Change	Lynn Regan
16			L1			Green Spaces. It is assumed that this policy is intended to designate Local Green Spaces as allowed under paragraphs 99-101 of the NPPF. However to do this it needs to list the spaces to be designated within the policy, identify their location on the policies map and have background evidence to demonstrate that they meet the criteria in NPPF paragraph 100. The policy at present is unclear about the nature of the designation or which sites are being designated.			Claire Tester	Map needs to be produced when confirmed by TWBC - BREWER STREET ON ONE SIDE MAY BE REMOVED	Andy Cotterill

No	Where they live in Parish	Opinion on plan	Policy	Page no	View on policy	Concern/Comment	Changes or additional evidence	Local knowledge	Name	Recommendations	Action by
101			L1	p.29		P 29, L1. Mapping green spaces is not (to my mind) a policy objective. Protecting the green spaces is a policy objective. We're not asking developers to map them – that's our / the council's job P 30,			Marcus de Guingand	No change required	
14	Other (Furnace lane)	Agree	L2	p.30	Agree	L2 (p30) I wouldn't want to see a total ban on diversion of original route ways. Occasionally a diversion can improve safety and connectivity by avoiding stretches of roads, driveways etc.			Elizabeth Morling	this is covered by legislation with KCC	
17			L2			Development Within The High Weald AONB. The wording of this policy is supported and similar wording has recently been agreed by an Examiner in the now made Crowhurst Neighbourhood Plan. It is recommended that supporting text / maps are added to the plan which identify the AONB landscape components referred to in the policy to aid in the implementation of this policy. Maps of these components are available on our website at http://www.highweald.org/downloads/publications/parish-information.html			Claire Tester	Agreed hyperlink and maps will be added also this information can be found in character assessment added when desktop published	
102			L2	p.30		It wasn't mentioned in the workshop at all. Hedges could obstruct the walking village			Marcus de Guingand	This is just along one section of road, changes not required and part of HIP	
36			L3	p.31		Outstanding views: Somewhat hidden in the red text "key views" which it is the aim of the N.P. to designate to be listed which will ensure that they remain for future generations are I suggest deserving of a proper title. Some views listed in the are not correct and not as those mapped which should appear in more detail in the appendix of the final draft. According to the NPPF views can only be listed from an area which is accessible to the public. Therefore in order to list a view from the Golf Course it must be from the public footpath. The view mapped			Andy Cotterill	map to be included and changes made	Sam Nicholas
98	Other (Furnace lane)	Agree	L3	p31	Agree	L3 (p31) This specifies "limit new housing on hillsides..." I would prefer it to cover all kinds of development			Elizabeth Morling	No action required	
37			L4	p.33		Outstanding views: The Village Green was not assessed for biodiversity. It was assessed as a Local Listed Green Space but was considered to have enough designations in place that this designation was unnecessary.			Andy Cotterill	remove the village green from page 33	Lynn Regan
99	Other (Furnace lane)	Agree	L4	p33	Agree	L4 (p33) Proposals for developments which are likely to have adverse impacts as described as described should surely not be permitted at all.			Elizabeth Morling	covered in policy	
103			L4	p33		P 33, L4. In objective worth putting the abbreviation in brackets ("ALNCV") as we use only the abbreviation below. P 35, L6. This is not in itself "evidence" to support the policy. There is plenty of evidence from the survey and the workshops to support this policy which could be used			Marcus de Guingand	write in full on page 33	Lynn Regan
100	Other (Furnace lane)	Agree	L6	p35	Agree	L6 (p35) This can be expanded to cover all lands of development by deleting the word "housing" from the first line.			Elizabeth Morling	Covered in other legislation	
70	Lamberhurst Village	Agree	L6 (35)		Agree	Any PROW's not included on the definitive footpath maps held by KCC by 2026 will cease to be open to the public and will become 'lost prows'			Barabara Uren	Add in to Project P1 point 3. look to map lost routeways	Lynn Regan

No	Where they live in Parish	Opinion on plan	Policy	Page no	View on policy	Concern/Comment	Changes or additional evidence	Local knowledge	Name	Recommendations	Action by
34			Landscape & Environment	p.25		The List should read as follows: St Mary's Churchyard , Victoria Walk (including the War Memorial), Chequers Field Lamberhurst Playing fields, Hook Green Common (meadow), The Slade Allotments and Brewer Street Allotments (2 sites to include Wiseacre). The Environment Working Group assessed the Common areas of the Down Meadow and Hook Green Meadows as A.L.N.C.V.along with the river bank at the rear of Brewer Street. The latter should appear on page 26 with the Common areas or 33.Hook Green Common Meadow should appear on both lists as it was assessed for both.			Andy Cotterill	Changed the list on p25 to The Slade Allotments and Brewer Street Allotments (2 sites to include Wiseacre). Changes on P26 additional sites of interest : - along the river bank at the rear of Brewer Street. Common land also assessed: - the Down Meadow - Hook Green Common Meadow	Lynn Regan
35			Landscape & Environment	p.26		Meadow Management: It is important to note that the Environment Working Group have expressed an intention to include verge management as meadow and creating areas managed as meadows as a desirable design feature for inclusion around new housing developments.			Andy Cotterill	Will add this into design guidance as soft boundary treatment	AS Cotterill
111			maps	p.64		map. I can't see this, no matter how much I zoom in			Marcus de Guingand	Agreed	
52	Other (Statutory consultee - utilities)	Agree	New policy - provision of utility infrastructure			Southern Water is the statutory wastewater undertaker for Lamberhurst Parish. We could find no policies to provide for new or improved infrastructure to support development identified in the Neighbourhood Plan. Paragraph 28 of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) states that non-strategic policies (ie those set out in a neighbourhood plan) 'should be used by local planning authorities and communities to set out more detailed policies for specific areas, neighbourhoods or types of development. This can include [...] the provision of infrastructure and community facilities at a local level' Although the Parish Council is not the planning authority in relation to wastewater development proposals, support for essential infrastructure is required at all levels of the planning system. On this basis, we propose the following additional policy provision: New and improved utility infrastructure will be encouraged and supported in order to meet the identified needs of the community.			Southern Water	Standard response	
4	Other (Furnace lane)	Agree	Overall Plan			1. The policy objectives do not stand out because of the green print. 2. The cover photo is excellent, but could be anywhere in the Kent and Sussex countryside, could something more unique to Lamberhurst be used? 5. The maps are blurred and not legible. 6. Further proof reading is needed. 7. On p17 add Bedgebury and nother tourist destination			Elizabeth Morling	Agreed	All
5	Other (Furnace lane)	Agree	Overall Plan			4. The term "development" is sometimes used when referring yo "new housing development", when the policy being set out should apply to all kinds of development, e.g. when considering unpack on dark skies, damage to amenities, effect on views and landscape.			Elizabeth Morling	Make sure that there is consistency in text add in new	Lynn Regan
6	Other (Furnace lane)	Agree	Overall Plan			3. There seems to be no mentioning sewerage. Should the plan cover infrastructure such as this, water electricity, school, Doctor surgery etc?			Elizabeth Morling	Not required as letter from Southern Water covers this	

No	Where they live in Parish	Opinion on plan	Policy	Page no	View on policy	Concern/Comment	Changes or additional evidence	Local knowledge	Name	Recommendations	Action by
54			Overall Plan			General: All the policy objectives are in "quotation marks" – for the first few I was wondering why they hadn't been attributed to whoever said it. They aren't quotes. Remove the quotation marks. They're fine being green A lot of the evidence is not what I would call evidence. I will point out specific examples below. They are more like "rationale". Change evidence to rationale or even to "Evidence / rationale" to avoid confusion A number of the maps are not in sufficiently high resolution to be able to see the detail			Marcus de Guingand	Changed when desktop published	
64	Lamberhurst Village	Agree	Overall Plan		Agree	Overall I find it difficult to write a list of policies and say what I support because this is a well-written and well-thought out report which takes into account the views of the local neighbourhood. I would particularly support the Landscape and Environment policies L1 to L6. The Housing and Design policies are very important H1 to H7 and D1 to D8 We really need to focus on our current environment and make that 'right' before building anything on the foundation. That includes parking and traffic issues and preservation and management of our green spaces.	No, however a mention of Begdebury as a local visitor area would be helpful. It is just up the road, is a world renowned pinetum and seed bank and needs our support.		Bonita Backhouse	No action required	
68			Page 79			The Yew tree at St Marys is considered to be 1500 years old not 4000 years old as stated in the text. Otherwise this would make this the oldest specimen in the country.			Andy Cotterill	Change	Lynn Regan
88	Lamberhurst Village	Agree	Page 81		Agree	Replace plastic bollards outside Stair House with ones more suitable to the conservation area. Include list of detractors to the area - such as erections of close boarded fencing in conservation areas and plastic bollards.	Appendix 4 - Planning context. Please consult TWBC's landscape character assessments. LCA 8 - Bayham. LCA 10 - Kilndown. LCA 18 - Teise Valley. Lamberhurst Parish is included in ALL three.	Appendix 1 - Lamberhurst Appraisal 1990 NOT 1967. Conservation area appraisal 2002 - Lamberhurst Local History Society helped write NOT Lamberhurst Society.	John Uren	Part of community HIP changes	
69	Lamberhurst Village	Agree	Pages 27, 34, 82			Individual property protection was a poor policy for the long term. Overflow flood relief through (Clevedon Court) was ignored by authorities.	When was the Teise under the bridge last dredged? P82. Replacement bridge to allow for an increase in flow rate to reduce back up.		Ron Tibbs	Covered in other legislation	
112			T1	p.71		T1. Bullet point 2. Do we really need safe and convenient access for HORSES?			Marcus de Guingand	No action required	

No	Where they live in Parish	Opinion on plan	Policy	Page no	View on policy	Concern/Comment	Changes or additional evidence	Local knowledge	Name	Recommendations	Action by
15	Other (Furnace lane)	Agree	T1 (71)		Agree	I agree that maintaining the existing footpath network is essential, but the policy could include the intention to seek improvements.	I suggest this could include seeking opportunities for new footpaths and resiting existing footpaths where these provide improvements in safety and connectivity. Deals could be made with developers to close redundant paths and open new ones in better locations.	Sometimes when out walking a path opens onto a busy road. Several metres up the road on the other side is the next part of the footpath. Can be dangerous.	Elizabeth Morling	add in words maintain and improve	Lynn Regan
55			T1 (71)	p24		Specific: P 24, T1. What is sustainable transport and it cannot be the developer's job to provide bus / train / other transportation			Marcus de Guingand	Local Plan should have policy and strategy to undertake this support	
85	Lamberhurst Village	Agree	T2	p72	Agree	Add Spray Hill. Used as parking, drop off and pick up point for the school. Also used for village overflow parking and as parking area for village and community events.	Since traffic calming-post by-pass 2008-extended the village green across the old A21 large vehicles have great difficulty negotiating the junction with High Street and The Broadway. Often damaging posts around the green.	Spray Hill is a bus route (add photo's to p.66)	Barabara Uren	Add in Spray Hill into list	Lynn Regan
2	Other (Furnace lane)	Agree	T2	p72	Agree	Traffic calming by means of "chicanes". These force our line of traffic to travel on the wrongside of the road. As such they are intrinsically dangerous. I hear screeching tyres by one of them on Furnace Lane almost every day, as a result of motorists misjudging the chicane. They may even encourage motorists to drive faster in order to beat the oncoming traffic.	Remove them and replace with speed bumps. At a minimum remove the one just past the surgery on Wadhurst Road, going in the direction of Wadhurst. It's almost on a blind bend!		Tim Cornick	speed bumps are no longer allowed due to pollution regulations part of HIP	Parish Council
60	Lamberhurst Village	Agree	T2	p72	Agree	Additional emphasis should be placed on School Hill parking. Vehicles block the line of sight when turning out of Parsonage Lane. There are regular near-miss incidents caused by a combination of blocked view and excessive speed.	Photographic evidence is already included; but does not raise the subject of line-of-sight from the junction.		John Mottershead	HIP report and look at mapping	Parish council
3	Lamberhurst Village	Agree							Mike Cumming	No action required	
23				p.40		Should the George & Dragon also be a Community Asset ? What exactly does the term mean ?			David Hurst-Brown	If it is not already we cannot include	Parish Council
25				p.76		First sentence does not tie up with the chart. Therefore suggest it should read " Approaching half of respondents own their property including 12% whom run their businesses from home".			David Hurst-Brown	move piechart	TCWS

No	Where they live in Parish	Opinion on plan	Policy	Page no	View on policy	Concern/Comment	Changes or additional evidence	Local knowledge	Name	Recommendations	Action by
26				p.77		There is a small typo. In second to last para should read "concern if the LNDP..."			David Hurst-Brown	Agree	Lynn Regan
28				p.79		Include Riding under Bewl Water and Bedbury Forest.			David Hurst-Brown		
32				p.6		The whole page but would be better presented in landscape format			Andy Cotterill	Not viable now	
33				p.89		Appendix Map has a good format but not a clear reproduction of the map			Andy Cotterill	Agreed	
38				p.34		Flood defence: The term "major flood protection measures" is somewhat misrepresentative. To clarify this point other preferred options such as upstream storage was dismissed on economic grounds. Whilst installing flood walls and embankments were dismissed for aesthetic reasons. The Property Level Flood Protection Grant was awarded for £169,300. This being divided between the 29 properties considered to be most at risk represents a total of £5,700 per property. I am not impressed with the original survey and have invested in sand bags because the barriers supplied to our property do not offer adequate protection in the event of a flood event. The Environment Agency has no plans to undertake flood defence work during the lifetime of this N.P.			Andy Cotterill	cannot change surveys - flood protection process in place	
39				p.39		Fair Fields: When was this site designated as a community asset? We were not allowed to assess this site as a Local Listed Green Space because the site was subject to a planning application.			Andy Cotterill	no action required	
40				p.66		Parking Photographers: Would look aesthetically better if they filled the whole page.			Andy Cotterill	discuss	
43						Standard response received			Natural England		
49	Lamberhurst Village	Agree				The plan looks great! With reference to flood mitigation, the plan references flood zones 1-3, however I can't see further information showing where these zones are. A link to a map would assist residents in understanding the risks and whether their property will be adequately protected from future development.	to discussions held between TWBC and the NP team regarding the call for sites lacks transparency without		Ruth Murphy	https://flood-map-for-planning.service.gov.uk/	
51	The Down	Agree			Agree	For me the salient points can be found on Page 29 – Green Space protection. Page 31 – Developments must not erode the essential rural, working agricultural character of the land. Page 33 – Bio-diversity protection. Page 34 – Water management.	Page 19 – Additional housing and a change in rural surroundings will clearly have an		Nick Staines	No action required	
56				p23/30		P 23, Para 2. We refer to the AONB management plan for 2014 to 2019. On page 30 in policy L2 we refer to the 2019 – 2024 plan. Should we align behind the latest plan?			Marcus de Guingand	Changes required	Lynn Regan
57											
58											

No	Where they live in Parish	Opinion on plan	Policy	Page no	View on policy	Concern/Comment	Changes or additional evidence	Local knowledge	Name	Recommendations	Action by
59	Lamberhurst Village	Agree							Lynn Mercer	No action required	
61	Lamberhurst Village	Agree							Tom Osborne	No action required	
62	Lamberhurst Village	Agree							Linda Osborne	No action required	
63	Lamberhurst Village	Agree									
65	Lamberhurst Village	Agree									
67	Hook Green	Agree									
71				p.13		p.13 – LOCATION – Environment – 2 nd paragraph 6 th line. 'The river Teise runs between TWO of these redges...'			Barabara Uren	Change to ridges	Lynn Regan
73				p.35		p.35 – LOST PROW's. If not included on definitive map will cease to be by 2026.			Barabara Uren	This is a huge untaking to add in lost prows - can add line in Project P1 to map lost PROWs	Lynn Regan
74				p.39		p.39 – add CURLING RINK			Barabara Uren	Out of Parish no action required	
75				p.64		p.64 – HISTORIC ASSET LIST. Furnace NOT Furness.			Barabara Uren	Change to Furnace	Lynn Regan
76				p.70		.5 KCC NOT TWBC.			Barabara Uren	Change to Kent County Council	Lynn Regan
77				p.81		Project P1 – 4. HIP for submission to KCC NOT TWBC.			Barabara Uren	Change TWBC to Kent County Council	Lynn Regan
83	Lamberhurst Village	Agree									
84						Dark Skies		Recently erected street lighting adjacent to the War	John Mottershead	No action required, send information to Parish Council	
87	Lamberhurst Village	Agree				Nothing is said about encouraging new businesses to be set up to replace F.W. Hawkins, Crown Chemical, Abattoir etc. Nothing is said about where businesses and/or new housing should be sited ie. When development should take place and how dense it should be e.g. 10 houses to the acre?	The more cooperation we have between the civil Parish Council and Church Parish Council, the better.		Godfrey and Jill Honeyhill	density of housing is covered in policy D5 and Business is covered adequately in chapter 11 Business and Economy no action required	

No	Where they live in Parish	Opinion on plan	Policy	Page no	View on policy	Concern/Comment	Changes or additional evidence	Local knowledge	Name	Recommendations	Action by
90						p.90 – ALTER WORDING; ‘after many years of hostile management... (Lower Down)’ ?			Barabara Uren	Alter wording as although wording is used for a particular type of management it may be misleading. Change to after many years of positive land management...	Lynn Regan
92				p.17		p.17 – ECONOMY – Local Facilities – Lines; allotments at Brewer Street and the Slade (Wiseacre)				Change to including allotments at Brewer Street and the Slade (Wiseacre)	Lynn Regan